AL-FARABI KAZAKII NATIONAL UNIVERSITY Faculty of Philology Department of Turkology and Language Theory

PROGRAM OF FINAL EXAMINATION IN THE DISCIPLINE

Code: 90771 «Practical course on phonetics of the first language»

Educational programme "Foreign Language: Two Foreign Languages" Bachelor degree programme

 $\begin{array}{c} Course-1 \\ Semester-1 \\ Number of credits-6 \end{array}$

1. THE THEMATIC PROGRAM OF THE DISCIPLINE

The purpose of the discipline is to form a basic knowledge about the phonetic system of the first language, its components, the norms of pronunciation, the classification of vowels and consonants, the structure of the speech apparatus and its functions in the formation of speech sounds before proceeding to develop students' pronunciation skills.

Learning outcomes in the discipline:

LO 1 (cognitive) - to demonstrate a thorough understanding of the phonetic system of the target language, including pronunciation, stress, rhythm, and intonation.

LO 2 (functionsl) - to accurately reproduce the sounds and intonation patterns of the target language in both controlled and spontaneous speech contexts.

LO 3 (functional) - to identify and correct phonetic errors in their own and others' spoken language, improving clarity and pronunciation.

LO 4 (systematic) - to engage in effective oral communication, exhibiting clear and natural pronunciation that enhances comprehensibility and fluency in the target language.

LO 5 (systematic) - to critically evaluate their own pronunciation and the articulation of others.

Main topics studied in the discipline.

Module 1.

PC 1 Letters and sounds.

- 1. Bye, buy. Introducing Letters and sounds.
- 2. Plane, plan. /ei/, / æ /
- 3. Back, pack. /b/, /p/
- 4. Rice, rise. /s/, /z/

PC 2 Letters and sounds.

- 1. Down, town. /d/, /t/
- 2. Meet, met. /i:/, /e/
- 3. Carrot, cabage. / ə/, /i/
- 4. Few, view. /f/, /v/

IWST P 1. Consultations on the implementation of IWS 1

PC 3 Letters and sounds.

- 1. Gate, Kate. /g/, /k/
- 2. Here, we're, year. /h/, /w/, /j/
- 3. Wine, win. /ai/, /i/
- 4. Sheep, jeep, cheap. /ʃ/, / dʒ/, / tʃ/

IWS 1. Transcribe the words. Worksheet 1.

PC 4 Letters and sounds.

- 1. Flies, fries. /l/,/r/
- 2. Car, care. /a: (r)/, /eə (r)/
- 3. Some, sun, sung. /m/, /n/, $/\eta/$
- 4. Note, not. /əu/, /ɔ/

PC 5 Letters and sounds.

- 1. Arthur's mother. $\frac{\theta}{\delta}$
- 2. Sun, full, June. $/\Lambda/$, $/\upsilon/$, /u:/
- 3. Shirt, short. / 3: (r)/, / o: (r)/
- 4. Toy, town. /oi/, /aυ/

PC 6 Syllables, words and sentences

- 1. Eye, my, mine. Introducing syllables.
- 2. Saturday September 13th. Introducing word stress.
- 3. Remember, he told her. Introducing sentence stress

IWST 2. Consultations on the implementation of IWS 2

PC 7 Syllables

1. Oh, no snow! Consonants at the start of syllables.

- 2. Go goal- gold. Consonants at the end of syllables.
- 3. Paul's calls Max's faxes. Syllables: plural and other -s ending.
- 4. Pete played, Rita rested. Syllables: adding past tense endings List of recommended sources.

Main literature:

Literature: main, additional.

- 1 1. Mark Hancock. English Pronunciation in Use, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- 2. Roach, P. (1995) English Phonetics and Phonology: a Practical Course, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 3. Bill Bowler, Sue Parminter. New Headway Pronunciation Course, Pre Intermediate, Oxford University Press, 2001.

Additional

- 4. Аракин В.Д. Практический курс англиийкого языка, 1 курс. 6 изданиеб 2012.
- 5. Beverley Collins, Inger M. Mees, Paul Carley. Practical English Phonetics and Phonology, A Resource Book for Students. 2019.

Research infrastructure

- 1. English Listening Lesson Library Online
- 2. ManyThings.org American English Pronunciation Practice
- 3. Randall's ESL Cyber Listening Lab
- 4. EFpodEnglish

2. METHODOLOGICAL INSTRUCTION FOR FINAL EXAMINATION: STANDARD WRITTEN EXAMINATION (OFFLINE)

- 2.1. Exam format: Standard written examination (offline).
- 2.2. The purpose of the written exam is to demonstrate the learning outcomes, skills and competencies acquired during the study of the discipline, the ability to logically express one's thoughts in writing, and argue one's point of view.

2.3. Expected results of the exam tasks:

One written exam card contains 3 questions that identify learning outcomes for the course studied and are assessed according to the criteria described below:

- Question 1 Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course; logic of presentation. Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.
- Question 2 Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.
- Question 3 Criterion 5. Evaluation and written critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to the proposed practical task. Criterion 6. Justification of the result obtained from one's own practice.

2.4. The examination procedure.

- 2.4.1. The standard written offline exam is conducted in accordance with the approved schedule.
- 2.4.2. 15 minutes before the start of the offline written exam, the teacher on duty checks the students' identities using their ID cards, and seats the students in the seats indicated on the attendance sheets.
- 2.4.3. In the event that a substitute person appears at the offline written exam, the teacher on duty draws up a corresponding report of violation of these Rules.
 - 2.4.4. Late students will not be allowed to take the exam.

- 2.4.5. During the exam, the teacher on duty monitors students' compliance with the rules of conduct in accordance with the approved instructions.
- 2.4.6. At the end of the time allotted for the exam (2 astronomical hours), the teacher on duty:
 - 1) collects examination papers;

- 2) puts in each work a sign of the end of writing the work in the answer sheets the letter X;
- 3) provides answer sheets along with attendance sheets for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office.
- 2.4.7. In case of delay in providing work for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office, a corresponding act is drawn up with subsequent prosecution of the perpetrators.
- 2.4.8. During the exam, students are prohibited from carrying and/or using cheat sheets, cell phones, smart watches and other technical and other means that can be used for unauthorized access to auxiliary information. It is prohibited to talk with other students and strangers, or to write down your full name and/or other identifying information in your answers.
- 2.4.9. If a student appears for the exam and refuses to answer the ticket, passing the exam will be graded as an "F."
 - 2.4.10. If there is no good reason, failure to appear for the exam will be assessed as an "F".
- 2.4.11. If a student violates one or more of these points, an Act of cancellation of the examination work (hereinafter referred to as the Act) is filled out, and a grade of "F" ("unsatisfactory") is assigned for the discipline.
- 2.4.12. For repeated violation of these Rules during the exam, the student is presented for consideration by the Faculty Council on Ethics.
- 2.4.13. The final grade for the discipline can be canceled within 1 month after the exam, if a student is found to have violated the instructions for conducting final control using distance learning technologies and/or rules of behavior during the exam: using cheat sheets, cell phones, negotiating, etc. based on recordings from surveillance cameras with filling out the Report. The act cannot be annulled or appealed.
 - 2.4.14. All violations during exams are recorded in the student's transcript.

RUBRICTOR FOR CRITERIAL ASSESSMENT OF FINAL EXAMINATION Discipline: Practical course on phonetics of the first language. Form: Standard written examination (offline).

	Score	DESCRIPTORS						
		«Excellent»	«Good»	«Satisfactory»	«Unsatisfactory»			
	Criterion	90-100 %	70-89 %	50-69 %	25-49%	0-24 %		
estion 1	Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and	An "excellent" grade is given for an answer that contains an exhaustive explanation of the question, a detailed argumentation for each conclusion and statement, is constructed logically and consistently, and is supported by examples from the	A "good" grade is given for an answer that contains a complete but not exhaustive coverage of the issue, an abbreviated argumentation of the main points, and allows for a violation of the logic and sequence of presentation of the material. The answer contains stylistic errors and inaccurate	A "satisfactory" grade is given for an answer that contains incomplete coverage of the questions proposed in the ticket, superficially argues the main points, and allows	given for incorrect coverage of the questions posed, erroneous argumentation, factual and verbal errors, and for the assumption of an incorrect conclusion.	An "unsatisfactory grade is also given for ignorance of basic concepts and meories; for violation of the Rules for final control.		
Question	Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.	given to the question; the answer is presented in literate scientific language, all terms and concepts are used correctly and explained	There are some inaccuracies.	The student does not illustrate theoretical concepts with examples from the developed class notes.	Key concepts for the training course contained in questions are interpreted with significant errors.	theoretical principles of the course.		
	Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterio 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the	correctly. n Excellent completion of the training assignment, a detailed, reasoned written answer to the question posed. n followed by solving practical problems of the course.	answer to the question posed	The material is presented in fragments, in violation of logical sequence, factual and semantic inaccuracies are made, and theoretical knowledge of the course is used superficially.	tasks in general; making mistakes and omissions that exceeds the norm.	Inability to apply knowledge and algorithms to solve task inability to draw conclusion and generalizations. Violation of the Rules for final control.		
	practical task. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.	followed by a logical and	The student's knowledge is adapted; the answers are weak structured, the answer contains minor factual errors, which he can correct independently, thanks to a leading question.	There is no meaningfulness of the material provided, there is no understanding of interdisciplinary connections.	The student finds it difficult to answer most of the additional questions on the content of the exam or does not give the correct answers.	understand the material. Violation of the Ruces for final control.		
Question	3 Criterion 5. Evaluation and written critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to	scientific principles and the applied methodology and	3-4 inaccuracies in the use of conceptual material, minor errors in generalizations and conclusions are allowed, which do not affect the good overall	There are conclusions on the applicability of substantiated scientific provisions are vague and unconvincing; there are stylistic and grammatical errors, as well as	incomplete, the conceptual	completed, there are no answers to the questions posed, materials and analy		

the proposed practical	compliance with the norms	level of task completion.	inaccuracies in processing the	were poorly used.	
	of scientific language, 1-2		results of a practical decision.	,	
	inaccuracies in the	T			
	presentation of the material	-			
	are allowed, which do not				
	affect the generally correct				* ,
	conclusions.				
Criterion 6.	The answer is illustrated with	Analysis of 3-4 provisions of	Poor application of the main		Lack of ability to apply
Justification of the	examples and visuals.	,	volume of material in accordance	in providing written answers	
result obtained from	materials, including from the	schools and directions with	with the training program with		examples. Violation of the
one's own practice.	student's own practice.		difficulties in independently		Rules for final control.
,		obtained from one's own	reproducing it in writing.		
		practice on the issue of the exam			1
		card with some inaccuracies.			

Formula for calculating the final grade:

Final grade (FG) = (%1+%2+%3+%4+%5+%6) / K, where % is the level of task completion by criterion, K is the total number of criteria.

Example of calculating the final grade

No	Score	«Excellent»	«Good»	«Satisfactory»		«Unsatisfactory»
		90-100 %	70-89%	50-69%	25-49%	0-24%
	Criterion					
1.	Criterion 1	100				
2.	Criterion 2		75			
3.	Criterion 3			60		
4.	Criterion 4				45	
5.	Criterion 5	100				
6.	Criterion 6				49	
	Final %	200	75	60	94	200+ 75 + 60 + 94 = 429 429 / 6 criteria = 71,5 Final score, as % = 72

Based on percentage obtained during the calculation, we can compare the score with the rating scale.

72 points range from 70 points to 89 points, which corresponds to the "Good" category according to the grading scale.

Thus, with this calculation, the project will be rated 72 points "Good" in accordance with the point-rating letter system for assessing educational achievements students with their transfer to the traditional grading scale and ECTS.

Dean OWNOTORN PARTIES

B.U. Dzholdasbekova

Chair of the Academic Committee on the Quality	
of Teaching and Learning	Zh.A.Sarsenbay
Head of Department	_ R.A. Avakova
Lecturer Mand	B. Nurlangazykyzy

E.